



2024 Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Performance Feedback and 2026 Payment Adjustment FAQs

Purpose

This document answers key questions (with supporting screenshots) about the MIPS performance feedback experience now available.

- These FAQs are intended to help you navigate the information within your performance feedback.
- **This resource doesn't provide detailed information about MIPS reporting requirements and scoring.**

Review the following resources on the Quality Payment Program (QPP) Resource Library for detailed scoring information:

- [2024 Traditional MIPS Scoring Guide \(PDF, 6MB\)](#)
- [2024 MVPs Implementation Guide \(PDF, 1MB\)](#)
- **2024 APP Scoring Guide**, available in the [2024 APP Toolkit \(ZIP, 2MB\)](#)
- [2024 Facility-based Quick Start Guide \(PDF, 1MB\)](#)

PLEASE NOTE: Third party representatives such as **Qualified Clinical Data Registries (QCDRs)** and **Qualified Registries can't access your performance feedback.**

DISCLAIMER: All screenshots include fictitious patients and organizations. Screenshots were captured from a test environment, so there may be slight variations between the screenshots included in this guide (including dates) and the user interface in the production system.

Table of Contents

Fast Facts About Performance Feedback	3
General Questions	4
Accessing Performance Feedback	5
Navigating Into Performance Feedback and Frequently Asked Questions	8
Practice Representatives	9
APM Entity Representatives	15
Individual Clinicians	19
Virtual Group Representatives	20
Overview: Final Score & Payment Adjustments	22
Quality	29
Improvement Activities	37
Promoting Interoperability	38
Cost	42
Items and Services	49
Where Can I Go for Help?	55
Version History	55
Appendices	56

Fast Facts About Performance Feedback

What Is Performance Feedback?

Performance feedback is a summary of the data you've submitted to us and that we collected on your behalf.

Final performance feedback includes:

- Performance category-level scores and weights.
- Bonus points and improvement scoring.
- Measure-level performance data and scores.
- Activity-level scores.
- Payment adjustment information (available approximately one month after final scores are released).
- Supplemental reports for administrative claims quality and cost measures.
 - Learn more in the [2024 MIPS Performance Feedback: Supplemental Reports Guide \(PDF\)](#).
- Comparative quality and cost feedback (MVP reporting only).

Who Can Access MIPS Performance Feedback and Payment Adjustment Information?

MIPS performance feedback is accessible to clinicians and authorized representatives of practices, virtual groups, and APM Entities (including Shared Savings Program Accountable Care Organizations [ACOs]), whether they reported [traditional MIPS](#), [a MIPS Value Pathway \(MVP\)](#), or the [APM Performance Pathway \(APP\)](#).

- **Practice representatives** with the QPP Staff User or Security Official role can view MIPS final scores and payment adjustments from **individual, group, and subgroup participation**.
- APM Entity representatives with the QPP Staff User or Security Official role can view the MIPS final score and payment adjustment for their APM Entity.
 - If you're a Medicare Shared Savings Program (Shared Savings Program) ACO's QPP Security Official or QPP Staff User contact in the [ACO Management System \(ACO-MS\)](#), then you can view the ACO's MIPS final score by signing in to the [QPP website](#) using your ACO-MS username and password.
- Virtual group representatives with the QPP Staff User or Security Official role can view the MIPS final score and payment adjustment from virtual group participation.
- Individual clinicians with the QPP Clinician role can view their MIPS final score and payment adjustment from individual, group, subgroup, virtual group, or APM Entity participation.

Please review [Appendix C](#) for more information about what you can and can't view in performance feedback, based on your permissions and access.

REMINDER: Third party representatives such as **Qualified Clinical Data Registries (QCDRs)** and **Qualified Registries** **can't access your performance feedback**.

How Do I Access Performance Feedback?

1. [Sign in to the Quality Payment Program website](#).
2. Click "**View PY 2024 Final Performance Feedback**" on the home page or select "Performance Feedback" from the left-hand navigation.
 - a. Acknowledge that you understand scores can change.
3. Select your organization (Practice, APM Entity, Virtual Group).

- a. Practice representatives can access individual, group, and subgroup feedback through the practice organization.

General Questions

Can I Download Feedback Reports?

Yes, you can print performance feedback using the **Print** button accessible on each page within performance feedback. (This feature uses your browser's native print functionality.) You can also download a spreadsheet with your submitted data, even if it didn't count towards your final score.

How Can I Learn More about 2024 MIPS Reporting Requirements and Scoring?

These FAQs are intended to help you navigate your performance feedback. This resource doesn't provide detailed information about MIPS reporting requirements and scoring. Review the following resources on the QPP Resource Library for detailed information:

- [2024 Traditional MIPS Scoring Guide \(PDF, 6MB\)](#)
- [2024 MVPs Implementation Guide \(PDF, 1MB\)](#)
- 2024 APP Scoring Guide, available in the [2024 APP Toolkit \(ZIP, 2MB\)](#)
- [2024 Facility-based Quick Start Guide \(PDF, 1MB\)](#)

What if We Find an Error with our Payment Adjustment/Performance Feedback?

If you believe an error has been made in your final score, you have approximately 60 days to request a targeted review. Targeted review will close 30 days after the release of 2026 MIPS payment adjustments.

What's a Targeted Review?

A targeted review is a process through which QPP participants can request that the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) review the calculation of their MIPS payment adjustment factor. For more information on the targeted review process, please review the [2024 Targeted Review User Guide \(PDF\)](#).

We continue to listen to you and make improvements to the system based on your feedback.

All screenshots include fictitious patients and organizations. Screenshots were captured from a test environment, so there may be slight variations between the screenshots included in this guide (including dates) and the user interface in the production system.

Contact the QPP Service Center if you have questions about a discrepancy.

Accessing Final Score (Performance Feedback)

Before You Begin

If you don't already have a Health Care Quality Information Systems (HCQIS) Authorized Roles and Profile (HARP) account or access to your organization on [QPP website](#), you'll need to create an account, request access, and wait to be approved.

- More information is available in the [QPP Access User Guide \(ZIP, 4MB\)](#).

Please note that due to a mandatory federal-wide security update, you'll need a CMS-supported version of Microsoft Edge or Chrome to access the [QPP website](#). You may encounter errors if you use a different web browser.

- Please update your browser to the latest version of [Microsoft Edge](#) or [Chrome](#).

How Can I Access My/Our MIPS Performance Feedback?


You can access your performance feedback through the [QPP website](#) by signing in with the same credentials that allowed you to submit and view data during the submission period.

- Please note that if you are a **Shared Savings Program ACO's** QPP Security Official or QPP Staff User contact in the [ACO Management System \(ACO-MS\)](#), then you can view performance feedback by signing in to the QPP website using your ACO-MS username and password.
- For guidance on how to add the QPP Security Official and QPP Staff User contacts to an ACO in ACO-MS, please refer to the [ACO-MS User Access and ACO Contents Tip Sheet](#).

If you don't have an account or role for your organization, refer to the [QPP Access User Guide \(ZIP, 4MB\)](#) for information on creating an account and requesting a role for your organization.

See [Appendix C](#) for more information about what you can and can't view in performance feedback based on your credentials.

After signing in, select **View Feedback** or **Performance Feedback** in the left-hand navigation pane.



I'm a Clinician. What's the Best Way for Me to Access My Performance Feedback?

The **Clinician role** will let you view your performance feedback for all your associated practices without requesting access to each practice or gaining access to information about other clinicians in your practice.

If you're a clinician in a MIPS APM, this role also lets you directly access performance feedback based on your APM Entity's reporting via [traditional MIPS](#), an [MVP](#), and/or the [APP](#).

Please review the **Register for a HARP Account** and **Connect as a Clinician** documents in the [QPP Access User Guide \(ZIP, 4MB\)](#).

Can Third Party Intermediaries Access Performance Feedback?

Only authorized practice (or virtual group or APM Entity) representatives can access performance feedback. CMS doesn't grant direct access to performance feedback for third party intermediaries (QCDRs and Qualified Registries) because it contains sensitive information, including payment and patient information.

Third party intermediaries with an account and a role for their Registry (or QCDR) organization can still access their dashboard and view the measures and activities they submitted on behalf of their clients, and the related scoring information. However, they **can't access**:


- Data submitted directly by their client or by another third party intermediary.
- Quality or cost measures that CMS calculates from administrative claims.
- Supplemental (including patient-level) reports for administrative claims measures.
- Final score and payment adjustment information.

To view their clients' performance feedback, third party intermediaries need to submit a request for a role for each practice (identified by Taxpayer Identification Number, or TIN), virtual group, or APM Entity they represent.

- The Security Official for each organization will decide whether to approve the request.
- If approved, the third party intermediary is authorized to access performance feedback and all other information available for the organization once signed into the QPP website.

What's the Difference Between the Performance Feedback and Reports Tabs?

Some users may notice the **Reports** tab in their left-hand navigation panel.



You'll access your 2024 MIPS performance feedback through the **Performance Feedback** tab.

The **Reports** tab is where some users will find:

- 2024 Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS) for MIPS Survey Detail Reports.
- Historical CMS Web Interface reports for groups and APM Entities that have reported quality measures through the CMS Web Interface in previous years.
- Historical payment adjustment reports.

Navigating Into Performance Feedback and Frequently Asked Questions

Organization Type	Details
Practice Representative	<p>Screenshots for accessing performance feedback and frequently asked questions related to individual, group, and subgroup participation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicable to users with the Staff or Security Official QPP Role for the practice, identified by TIN
APM Entity (Including Shared Savings Program ACOs)	<p>Screenshots for accessing performance feedback and frequently asked questions related to APM Entity participation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicable to users with the Staff or Security Official QPP Role for the APM Entity, identified by APM Entity ID
Individual Clinician	<p>Screenshots for accessing performance feedback and frequently asked questions related to individual, group, and subgroup participation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicable to clinicians, identified by NPI, with the QPP Clinician Role
Virtual Group	<p>Screenshots for accessing performance feedback and frequently asked questions related to virtual group participation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicable to users with the Staff or Security Official QPP Role for the virtual group, identified by Virtual Group ID

Navigating Into Performance Feedback: Practice Representatives



This section assumes you have either the QPP Staff User or QPP Security Official role for a **Practice** organization. (This is distinct from access to a virtual group and/or APM Entity organization.)

- Practice representatives can view feedback for individual clinicians, the group (if the practice participated as a group), and subgroups (if any clinicians in the practice registered to report an MVP as a subgroup).

Select **View Practice Details** to access group-, subgroup- or clinician-level performance feedback.

If you have access to multiple types of organizations (such as an APM Entity and a practice), make sure to select the **Practices** tab.

You can also select **Download Data** to access:

- Score Submission CSV (data submitted for your entire practice, which may or may not contribute to your final score).
- Connected Clinician List.
- Payment Adjustments for each clinician in the practice.**

Click **View practice details** to access performance feedback.

Group Feedback

Select **View group feedback** to the right of the practice name to access performance feedback based on **group participation** (aggregated data submitted on behalf of all clinicians in the practice).

Pfeffer Group

TIN: 000839403 | 01712 Amy Well Apt. 337, Suite 5150, Douglasburgh, NM 693839346567033

[View group feedback](#)

Individual Feedback

Under **Connected Clinicians**, you can see the final score attributed to the individual clinician beneath their name. You can also filter this list by the reporting and participation options the practice implemented to show which final score clinicians are receiving.

Pfeffer Group

TIN: 000839403 | 01712 Amy Well Apt. 337, Suite 5150, Douglasburgh, NM 693839346567033

[View group feedback](#)

Connected Clinicians

Select one of the clinicians below to view their performance details.

FILTER

All Clinicians (25)



SEARCH

Search by full or partial NPI



All Clinicians (25)

Receiving Group MIPS Score (25)

[Download Data \(Page 1\)](#)

The blue alert below the clinician's name will identify the reporting option (traditional MIPS, MIPS Value Pathways, or APM Performance Pathway) and participation option (individual, group, or subgroup) associated with their final score.

- Please note that you won't see information about final scores from virtual group or APM Entity participation.

Select **View Individual Feedback** to the right of the clinician's name to access more details about their final score.

Clinician Roles

Select one of the Clinician Roles below to view its performance details.

Showing 1 - 2 of 2 Clinician Roles

Twenty Scoring-20 at ITScoring-00
TIN: 000043500 | NPI: 0043520020

[View individual feedback](#)
[Download all data](#)

Final Score MIPS Value Pathways 75.80 / 100	Final score ⓘ MIPS Value Pathways Group	Total Payment Adjustment + 0.09%	Payment Adjustment Date Jan. 1, 2026
--	--	--	--

ⓘ This clinician scored lower than what the group scored. They will receive the group Final Score instead.

Subgroup Feedback

If any clinicians in the practice registered to report an MVP as a subgroup, you'll see the subgroup(s) listed under **Connected Subgroups**.

- Select **View subgroup feedback** to the right of the subgroup name to access performance feedback based on **subgroup participation** (aggregated data submitted on behalf of the clinicians in the subgroup).
- Select **View subgroup details** to view a list of the clinicians included in the subgroup's registration.
- Select **Download Data** – and choose "Score Submission.CSV" – for a list of all data submitted by the subgroup.

Connected Subgroups

Select one of the subgroups below to view their performance details.

Search full or partial Subgroup ID

Showing 1 - 5 of 5 Subgroups

Subgroup One

Subgroup ID: SG-00001288

2 participants are receiving this Subgroup score.

[View subgroup feedback](#)

[View subgroup details](#)

Note: The “**View subgroup feedback**” button won’t display if the subgroup’s final score isn’t attributed to at least 1 clinician in the subgroup.

- If all the clinicians in the subgroup received a final score from individual or group participation (i.e., the subgroup score was lower than the group and individual scores), select “Score Submission.CSV” from the **Download Data** drop down to view scores from the subgroup’s MVP reporting.

North Davis Cardiology

Subgroup ID: SG-00000471

0 participants are receiving this Subgroup score.

[View subgroup details](#)

[Download Data](#) ^

↓ Score Submission.CSV

Continue with these [Frequently Asked Questions](#) or skip ahead to the [Final Score Overview section](#).

Our Practice Participated in Multiple Ways. Can I Easily See Which Final Score Was Attributed to the Clinicians in Our Practice?

Yes, there are 2 ways to do this:

1. **Under Connected Clinicians** on the “Practice Details” page, you can filter your clinicians by the final scores attributed to the clinicians in your practice based on the reporting and participation options your practice implemented.

In the screenshot below, all MIPS eligible clinicians are getting the group’s traditional MIPS score. However, there would be additional options in the filter if any clinicians had received their final score from individual traditional MIPS reporting or subgroup MVP reporting.

Pfeffer Group
TIN: 000839403 | 01712 Amy Well Apt. 337, Suite 5150, Douglasburgh, NM 693839346567033

[View group feedback](#)

Connected Clinicians
Select one of the clinicians below to view their performance details.

FILTER
All Clinicians (25)
All Clinicians (25)
Receiving Group MIPS Score (25)

SEARCH
Search by full or partial NPI

[Download Data \(Page 1\)](#) ▾

2. Before you get into the “Practice Details,” you can **download the Payment Adjustment CSV** to see the final score, performance category scores, and associated payment adjustment earned by each clinician in the group.

Davis Inc
TIN: 000549237 | 73440 Bridges Cliff Apt. 204, Suite 2777, New Nicole, MN 660778514593281

[View practice details](#)

Download Data ▴
↓ Score Submission.CSV
↓ Connected Clinician List.CSV
↓ Payment Adjustment.CSV

Help shape the future of QPP. Participate in a user feedback session. [Sign up now](#)

Our Practice Didn't Participate/Submit Data as a Group or Subgroup. What Will We See in Performance Feedback?

If your practice didn't submit data as a group or subgroup for the 2024 performance year, you'll see a message indicating that your clinicians only reported as individuals.

You can **View Individual Feedback** for each connected clinician.

We'll also make administrative claims quality measure scores available for informational purposes if they can be calculated.

What's a "Connected Clinician" and Who's Included in This List?

Connected clinicians are all clinicians, identified by their NPI, who are associated with your practice (TIN) through Medicare Part B claims billed October 1, 2023 – September 30, 2024, regardless of their individual MIPS eligibility. Your connected clinicians are displayed on the Practice Details page of performance feedback and can also be accessed through the Connected Clinicians List CSV download on the **Practice Details** page.

- Clinicians who started billing claims under your TIN for services furnished on or after October 1, 2024 through December 31, 2024, will appear in the Payment Adjustment CSV.

Can I Access a List of the Clinicians Participating in the Subgroups in Our Practice?

Yes. You can access a list of clinicians associated with each subgroup in the practice. Select **View Subgroup Details** next to each subgroup name.

Our Practice Includes Clinicians Who Participated in a MIPS APM. What Performance Feedback Will We See?

When you sign in with practice credentials, you're able to view final scores based on the data your practice submitted to QPP at the group, subgroup, or individual level. You **won't** be able to view final scores for the APM Entity (or virtual group, if applicable).

We Participate in a Virtual Group. Why Don't I See Our Performance Feedback?

Representatives of solo practitioners and practices participating in a virtual group must have a Staff User role connected to the virtual group to access the virtual group's performance feedback. These permissions are different than the ones that let you access information specific to your practice. Please review the **Connect to an Organization** document in the [QPP Access User Guide \(ZIP, 4MB\)](#).

Any data submitted by individual clinicians, solo practitioners, or TINs within the virtual group will be considered voluntary and not eligible for a payment adjustment.

Navigating Into Performance Feedback: APM Entity Representatives



This section assumes you have either the QPP Staff User or the QPP Security Official role for an **APM Entity** organization. (This is distinct from access to a practice and/or virtual group organization.)

The following programs and models can review 2024 MIPS performance feedback, if applicable and available:

- Shared Savings Program ACO
- Bundled Payments for Care Improvement (BPCI) Advanced
- Independence at Home Demonstration
- Maryland Total Cost of Care (TCOC)
- Vermont All Payer ACO

Select **View APM Entity Feedback** to access APM Entity-level performance feedback.

Pedersen PLC

APM Entity ID: A2029

View APM entity feedback

Download all data ▾

Final Score APM Performance Pathway	Final score ⓘ APM Performance Pathway APM Entity	Total Payment Adjustment	Payment Adjustment Date
87.86 / 100		--	Jan. 1, 2026


ⓘ Each MIPS eligible clinician in the APM Entity will receive a payment adjustment based on the APM Entity's final score, unless they have a higher final score through individual or group participation.

Continue with these [Frequently Asked Questions](#) or skip ahead to the [Final Score Overview section](#).

Can We Access a List of the Clinicians Associated with Our APM Entity?


Yes. You can download this list by clicking “**View Participant Eligibility**” from the **Eligibility & Reporting** tab.

- It will default to Performance Year 2025.
- **You'll need to change the selection in the drop-down to Performance Year 2024.**



The screenshot shows the QPP Account Home interface. On the left is a dark blue sidebar with navigation links: Account Home, Registration, Eligibility & Reporting, Performance Feedback, APM Incentive Payments, Doctors & Clinicians Preview, Exceptions Application, and Targeted Review. A 'COLLAPSE' button is at the bottom of the sidebar. The main content area has a blue header with a dropdown menu set to 'Performance Year 2024'. A red box highlights this dropdown, and a red arrow points to it from the text 'You'll need to change the selection in the drop-down to Performance Year 2024.' Below the header is a light blue information box with an 'i' icon, stating: 'The QPP Participation Status Tool is showing your final Performance Year (PY) 2024 eligibility status:'. It lists two updates: 'April 2025: Updated to include 2024 MIPS APM participation status based on the 4th snapshot of APM data (January 1, 2024 – December 31, 2024).' and 'Next update (Anticipated Timeframe): March 2025: We'll update MIPS APM participation status based on the 4th APM snapshot of APM (data from January 1 – December 31, 2024).'

From here, you'll select **View APM Entity Submissions**.



The screenshot shows the QPP Account Home interface with the 'APM Entities' tab selected. The sidebar on the left is the same as in the previous screenshot. The main content area has a search bar labeled 'Search by APM entity name' and a 'Search' button. Below the search bar, it says 'Showing 1 - 2 of 2 APM Entities'. The first entity listed is 'Beckmann Kambs GmbH & Co. KG', with details: 'MIPS APM & Advanced APM | PCF CA1196 / PCF - GENERAL PRACTICE' and 'Special Statuses, Exceptions and other factors: Small practice'. A red box highlights the 'View APM Entity Submissions' button next to the entity name. At the bottom right, there is a link: 'View APM entity details & participant eligibility >'. The CMS logo is visible in the bottom right corner.

Once you land on the APM Entity Details & Participants screen, you can click **Download Participant List** for a list of all participating practices and clinicians associated with the APM Entity.

Account Home

Beckmann Kambs GmbH & Co. KG
APM Entity ID: CA1196

Eligibility & Reporting

- APM Entity Details & Participants

→← COLLAPSE

Check APM Participation Data

[Download participant list](#)

i The APM participation file was last updated on **April 4, 2025**.

Why is this important?

The APM participation file provides eligibility information and reporting requirements for clinicians included in the APM Entity for the purpose of MIPS.

What do I need to do?

1. Review the APM participation file to ensure that the most recent file matches with your records.
2. Verify if clinicians in the APM Entity are ineligible for the APP Final score by filtering the Clinician Status in APM Entity column.
3. If there are discrepancies, please contact the QPP Service Center at 1-866-288-8292.
4. Please ensure to review the file for accuracy with each APM snapshot release.

Participating Practices

TINs with clinicians participating in this APM Entity

Important: Make sure to filter column G – “Clinician Status in APM Entity” – by the following:

- “This clinician was **not** actively participating in the APM Entity on any snapshot date. This clinician is not eligible to report via the APP and will not be included in QP determinations. This clinician must report through traditional MIPS, unless otherwise exempt.”

MIPS eligible clinicians with this status aren’t eligible to receive the APM Entity’s final score or the associated payment adjustment.

You can also click “**View Clinician Eligibility**” for any of the participating practices to view the clinicians within that practice.

The screenshot displays the APM Entity interface. On the left is a dark blue sidebar with navigation links: 'Account Home' (with a house icon), 'Eligibility & Reporting' (with a pie chart icon), and a sub-link 'APM Entity Details & Participants'. The main content area is titled 'Participating Practices' and shows 'TINs with clinicians participating in this APM Entity'. It includes a search bar labeled 'Search by practice name' and a status 'Showing 1 - 1 of 1 Practices' with a link to 'Download participant list'. A single practice is listed: 'Pantoja - Bermúdez' with TIN #132005019 and address 95321 Gislason Harbors, Lake Ulicesfort, WY 70250-6767. It is marked 'MIPS ELIGIBLE' with a green checkmark. A red button labeled 'View Clinician Eligibility' is next to the practice name. Below the practice name, it states 'Clinicians at this practice participate in the APM Entity: 22', 'Exceeds Low Volume Threshold: Yes', 'Covered Services at this practice: 19,097', and 'Special Statuses, Exceptions and Other Reporting Factors: None'. A 'COLLAPSE' button is at the bottom of the sidebar.

What Should We Expect to See in Feedback?

Users with access to the APM Entity (i.e., a Staff User or Security Official role for the APM Entity organization) will be able to view:

- The APM Entity’s final score.
- Performance category scores (quality, improvement activities, Promoting Interoperability, as applicable).
- A report of the individual and/or group Promoting Interoperability performance category scores that contributed to the APM Entity’s Promoting Interoperability score.
- Measure-level scoring for quality measures reported by the APM Entity.

Can Individual Clinicians View Our APM Entity Feedback?

Yes. Individual clinicians in the APM Entity can view their final score from the APM Entity if they have the clinician role **or** if they have been approved as a staff user for the APM Entity.

Representatives of Shared Savings Program ACO Participant TINs and practices with clinicians receiving their APM Entity’s final score **won’t** be able to access the APM Entity’s performance feedback unless they have been approved as a staff user for the APM Entity.

Navigating Into Performance Feedback: Individual Clinicians



Note: This section assumes you're a clinician with the Clinician role. (This is different from the QPP Staff User role for a practice, APM Entity, or virtual group organization).

You'll see a list of all your associated organizations (practices, APM Entities, and virtual groups).

Select **View Individual Feedback** to access your performance feedback associated with this organization. Your feedback at an organization may be based on individual, group, or MIPS APM participation.

The screenshot shows the QPP Performance Feedback interface. On the left is a dark blue sidebar with the following options: 'Account Home' (with a house icon), 'Malave, Mascareñas and Jiménez' (with TIN: 000007280 and a 'Switch Practice' button), and 'Practice Feedback' (with a star icon and a 'Details' sub-option). The main content area is titled 'Connected Clinicians' and includes the instruction 'Select one of the clinicians below to view their performance details.' Below this is a 'FILTER' dropdown set to 'All Clinicians (2)' and a search bar labeled 'Search full or partial NPI number'. It states 'Showing 1 - 2 of 2 Clinicians'. A card for 'Alba Reinhardt at Malave, Mascareñas and Jiménez' (NPI: 1033313069) is displayed, featuring a red-bordered button labeled 'View individual feedback' and a 'Download all data' button with a dropdown arrow.

Continue with these [Frequently Asked Questions](#) or skip ahead to the [Final Score Overview section](#).

How Do I Identify My Associated Organizations in Performance Feedback?

You should see the same associations on the **Performance Feedback** tab as you see for the 2024 performance year in the [QPP Participation Status Tool](#) or on the Eligibility & Reporting page when you [sign in to the QPP website](#). Click "**View Individual Feedback**" to view your final score as well as any individual data submitted on your behalf.

Navigating Into Performance Feedback: Virtual Group Representatives



This section assumes that you have either the QPP Staff User or the QPP Security Official role for a **Virtual Group** organization. (This is distinct from access to a practice and/or APM Entity organization.)

Select **View Group Details** to access virtual group-level performance feedback.

VG ID: fake68
2 Participating Practices

View group details

Download all data ▾

Final Score Traditional MIPS 80.00 / 100	Final score ⓘ Traditional MIPS Virtual Group	Total Payment Adjustment + 0.60%	Payment Adjustment Date Jan. 1, 2026
--	--	--	--

i All MIPS eligible clinicians in the virtual group will receive the virtual group's final score and associated payment adjustment, regardless of any data that may be submitted at the individual, group, or APM Entity level.

Continue with these [Frequently Asked Questions](#) or skip ahead to the [Final Score Overview section](#).

Can the Practices and/or Solo Practitioners Who Participate in Our Virtual Group Access Our Performance Feedback?

Yes, but only if they have an approved Staff User role for your virtual group. This means they are connected to your virtual group organization and requested the Staff User role; these permissions are different than the ones that let them access information specific to their practice. For more information, review the **Connect to an Organization** document in the [QPP Access User Guide \(ZIP, 4MB\)](#).

Can I Access a List of the Clinicians Participating in Our Virtual Group?

Yes. You can access a list of clinicians associated with each practice in the virtual group. Select **View group details** next to each practice name.

VG ID: fake40

6 Participating Practices

[View group details](#)

[Download all data](#) ▾

Final Score Traditional MIPS 100.00 / 100	Final score ⓘ Traditional MIPS Virtual Group	Total Payment Adjustment + 3.00%	Payment Adjustment Date Jan. 1, 2026
---	---	--	--

i All MIPS eligible clinicians in the virtual group will receive the virtual group's final score and associated payment adjustment, regardless of any data that may be submitted at the individual, group, or APM Entity level.

We Have Clinicians in Our Virtual Group Who Participate in a MIPS APM. What Kind of Performance Feedback Will We See?

You'll see performance feedback based on the data you submitted to QPP at the virtual group level. Please note that clinicians participating in a virtual group will always get the virtual group's final score, even if they also participate in a MIPS APM.

Overview: Final Score and Payment Adjustment

When you navigate into feedback, you'll land on the **Overview** page. From here, you can view:

- Your final score.
- Your score and the weight for each MIPS performance category.
- Your payment adjustment information*.

***REMINDER:** There's a **single payment adjustment** beginning with the 2024 performance year/2026 payment year. The Congressional funding for the additional adjustment for exceptional performance expired after the 2022 performance year/2024 payment year.

How Is Our Final Score Determined?

Your final score is the sum of your performance category scores, and any points awarded for the [complex patient bonus](#).

Your final score is associated with a MIPS [reporting option](#) and [participation option](#).

The Final Score card will identify both.

There are 3 MIPS reporting options:

- [Traditional MIPS*](#)
- [APM Performance Pathway \(APP\)](#)
- [MIPS Value Pathways \(MVPs\)](#).

***Facility-based clinicians and groups** are eligible to receive quality and cost scores in traditional MIPS based on their facility's Total Performance Score in the Hospital Value-Based Purchasing Program. These clinicians and groups will see their final score attributed to "Facility-based." You can learn more about facility-based scoring in the [2024 Facility-Based Quick Start Guide \(PDF, 1MB\)](#).

Final score - Traditional MIPS

56.10 / 100



Final score ?

Traditional MIPS

Group

Final score - Facility-based

100.00 / 100



Final score ?

Facility-based

Group

If a clinician [participated in MIPS multiple ways](#) — for example, your practice reported traditional MIPS at the group level and the clinician also reported an MVP as an individual — we'll assign the highest score that could be attributed to the clinician under that TIN/NPI combination.

- Users with access to an APM Entity can only access performance feedback and the final score for the APM Entity. They won't see if the participating clinicians have a higher score from individual or group participation.
- Users with access to a practice (TIN) can only access performance feedback and the final scores from individual, group, and subgroup participation. They won't see if clinicians have a higher score from APM Entity or virtual group participation.

We Participated in Multiple Ways. How Did You Determine Which Final Score and Payment Adjustment to Attribute to Our Clinicians?

While clinicians can participate in multiple ways under a single TIN/NPI combination, they'll only have one final score and associated payment adjustment under that TIN/NPI combination.

When a clinician has multiple final scores that can be attributed to their TIN/NPI combination, we apply the following hierarchy when determining which final score will determine payment adjustments:

Scenario	Final Score Used to Determine Payment Adjustments
TIN/NPI is part of a virtual group and reported as an individual, group, subgroup, and/or APM Entity.	Virtual group's final score. (All other reporting is considered voluntary.)
TIN/NPI has a score from individual, group, subgroup and/or APM Entity reporting.	The highest of these final scores, from either APM Entity, group, subgroup, or individual reporting.

For group, subgroup, virtual group and MIPS APM participation, **MIPS eligible clinicians** include clinicians who didn't exceed the low-volume threshold as individuals but aren't otherwise excluded from MIPS based on their:

- Clinician type/ specialty
- Medicare enrollment date
- Reaching QP thresholds if they're in an Advanced APM

Why do I see both a Final Score and Other Score?

Your final score determines your MIPS payment adjustment. If you have the option to view an "Other Score", that means you submitted data for a MIPS reporting option that wasn't used for your final score. This data has always been available through the downloadable Score Submissions.CSV, but now we're providing a formatted version in performance feedback to help you more easily compare your performance between your MIPS reporting options.

Let's look at an example.

A group reported both an MVP and traditional MIPS.

- The group earned 78 out of 100 points for their MVP submission.
- The group earned 83 out of 100 points for their traditional MIPS submission.

We selected the traditional MIPS submission for their final score because it received the higher score. When the group selects "Other score" from their group feedback dropdown, they'll see a formatted version of their MVP submission data.

FBTestOrg-25

TIN: 000044625 | 550 Graves Run, Suite 9262, Port Rachel, IA 829872597660887

Final score

Traditional MIPS

Group

View group feedback

Final score: Traditional MIPS

Other score: MIPS Value Pathways

Connected Clinicians

Select one of the clinicians below to view their performance details.

FILTER

All Clinicians (1)

Search full or partial NPI number

Showing 1 - 1 of 1 Clinician

How Does My MIPS Final Score Determine My Payment Adjustment?

Your MIPS final score will be between 0 and 100 points. Each final score will correlate to a payment adjustment, **but in most cases, we can't project what this correlation will be with some exceptions noted in the table below.**

Why? MIPS is required by law to be a budget-neutral program, which generally means that the size of payment adjustments depends on the overall participation and performance of clinicians in the program for that year.

REMINDER: There's no longer an additional adjustment for exceptional performance. Congressional funding for this additional adjustment expired after the 2022 performance year (2024 payment year).


Final Score	Payment Adjustment
0.00 – 18.75 points	-9% payment adjustment
18.76 – 74.99 points	Negative payment adjustment (greater than -9% and less than 0%)
75.00 points (Performance threshold)	Neutral payment adjustment (0%)
75.01 –100.00 points	Positive payment adjustment (scaling factor applied to meet statutory budget neutrality requirements)

- When more MIPS eligible clinicians have a final score above the performance threshold, we see **lower positive adjustments** because there are fewer MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a negative adjustment and relatively more MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a positive MIPS payment adjustment.


- When more MIPS eligible clinicians have a final score below the performance threshold, we see **higher positive adjustments** because there are more MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a negative MIPS payment adjustments and relatively fewer MIPS eligible clinicians receiving positive MIPS payment adjustments.

Is There a Way for Me to See a List of the Final Scores and Payment Adjustments for All the MIPS Eligible Clinicians in My Practice (Identified by TIN)?

Yes. From the **Performance Feedback** tab, select **“Payment Adjustment CSV”** from the **Download Data** menu under the **View Practice Details** button.




You can also filter your Connected Clinicians list by final score information once you’ve clicked **View Practice Details**. The list defaults to showing **All Clinicians**. There are multiple reports you can choose from (not all are shown in the image below).



How Can I See More Information About the Different Performance Categories?

You can access the scoring details for each performance category by clicking **“View Details”** on the Performance Category Overview cards below.




What Is the Complex Patient Bonus?

The MIPS Complex Patient Bonus aims to protect access to care for vulnerable, medically complex patients by awarding bonus points to the clinicians who care for them. This bonus is comprised of 2 components that are added together to form your score:

- **Medical Complexity.** This component uses the Hierarchical Condition Categories (HCC) Risk Scores of your patient population. These scores are assigned to each Medicare patient based on the severity of their acute or chronic conditions and are an indicator of medical complexity.
- **Social Risk.** This component uses the Dual Eligibility Ratio of your patient population. Dual Eligibility is a common indicator of social risk and refers to patients who are eligible for both Medicare and full- or partial-benefits under Medicaid.

How Is the Complex Patient Bonus Calculated?


To learn about these calculations, click **View Details** on the Complex Patient Bonus card or review the [2024 Complex Patient Bonus Fact Sheet \(PDF, 711KB\)](#).



Why Am I Not Eligible for the Complex Patient Bonus?

The complex patient bonus is **limited** to MIPS eligible clinicians, groups, virtual groups and APM Entities with at least one risk indicator (either average HCC risk score or dual eligibility ratio) at or above the median risk indicator calculated for all MIPS eligible clinicians, groups, virtual groups and APM Entities from the prior performance year.

From the Overview page, you'll see a message indicating that you're not eligible for this bonus. Click **View Details** to learn more about this calculation and why you're not eligible.




Did you know?

We'll display the complex patient bonus (if it can be calculated) for informational purposes for practices that were (1) eligible for MIPS at the group level **and** (2) didn't report as a group **and** (3) had either Administrative Claims Quality Measures or Items and Services data available for informational purposes.

What Will Display if the Performance Category is Reweighted?

When a category is reweighted due to extreme and uncontrollable circumstances, the performance category overview will display language stating that you're exempt from receiving a score for that performance category.




- [Appendix A](#) reviews reweighting for individual clinicians under the automatic Extreme and Uncontrollable Circumstances (EUC) policy.
- [Appendix B](#) reviews the performance category weight redistribution when 1 or more performance categories are reweighted.

We're a Participant TIN in a Shared Savings Program ACO. Why Do We See a Score of Zero for the Quality Performance Category?

Participant TINs (accessing their feedback on the QPP website through the Staff User or Security Official roles for the practice) can only see the data they reported at the group or individual level. You see a quality score of zero because the ACO, not the group or individual, reported the APP quality measures.

- Participant TINs that reported Promoting Interoperability data for the APP as a group or individual will see a group or individual final score based on the Promoting Interoperability data they reported and the 100% automatic credit for the improvement activities performance category.
- ACO Participant TINs **won't** see the final score or performance category scores attributed to the ACO.
- Only authorized representatives of the ACO (users with the Staff User or Security Official role for the ACO) or MIPS eligible clinicians in the ACO with the Clinician Role can access the ACO's final score.

Here's an example of what a Participant TIN might see if they submitted Promoting Interoperability data as a group on behalf of their MIPS eligible clinician in the ACO:



You'll see 0 points in Quality unless you reported the APP quality measures as a group.

If you reported Promoting Interoperability data as a group, you'll see a score based on the data your group submitted – please note that **this isn't the ACO's score** for the performance category.

Automatic full credit for Improvement Activities when data is submitted for the APP in another performance category.

As a reminder, the MIPS eligible clinicians in the ACO will receive the highest final score and associated payment adjustment that could be attributed to their TIN/NPI combination. Please reach out to your ACO coordinator for more information about the ACO's final score.

Quality

Reporting Option	Details
Traditional MIPS	Details are available for the measures submitted (including the CAHPS for MIPS Survey measure) and automatically calculated via administrative claims
MVPs	Details are available for the measures submitted (including the CAHPS for MIPS Survey measure) and automatically calculated via administrative claims
APP	Details are available for the measures submitted (including the CAHPS for MIPS Survey measure) and automatically calculated via administrative claims

[If your Final Score indicates “Facility-based,” skip ahead.](#)

Each of the 3 MIPS reporting options has distinct measure reporting requirements that are reflected on the Quality details page of performance feedback.


- [Traditional MIPS](#)
 - You submit 6 measures (any available in the MIPS quality measure inventory) or a complete specialty set.
 - We automatically calculate 4 administrative claims measures; you’ll be scored on the measures for which you meet the requirements.
- [MVPs](#)
 - You submit 4 measures (selected from those available in the MVP you register for) or 3 measures (if you selected an outcomes-based administrative claims-based measure* in your MVP registration).
 - We automatically calculate the available population health measures within MVP you registered for and apply the higher scoring measure to your quality score. If you don’t meet case minimum for either population health measure, the measure will be excluded from scoring.
 - The scored population health measure doesn’t count towards the 4 required quality measures.

*If you registered for an outcomes-based measure as 1 of your 4 required measures (separate from the population health measure), you’ll receive 0 points if you don’t meet the measure requirements.

- [APP](#)
 - You submit the 3 required measures or (Shared Savings Program ACOs only) the 10 CMS Web Interface measures.
 - You administer the CAHPS for MIPS Survey.
 - We automatically calculate 2 administrative claims measures; you’ll be scored on the measures for which you meet the requirements.

Comparative Quality Feedback (MVP Reporting Only)

Comparative Quality Performance Percentage



How You Compare

Davis Inc's Quality Performance of 13.70% is 10 points lower than the National Quality Performance Average of 23.21% of all who submitted for the Prevention and Treatment of Infectious Disorders Including Hepatitis C and HIV (M1368) MVP.

Individual clinicians, groups, subgroups and APM Entities who received a final score from the MVP reporting option can see how their quality score compared to all other MVP participants who reported the same MVP.

On the quality page, you may see your submitted quality measures displayed in up to 3 groups:

- Measures whose performance points and bonus points count toward your quality performance category score.
The measure score will display the points earned based on comparison to its benchmark.

Measures that count toward Quality Performance Score

Your Measure Score includes both performance points and bonus points.

Measure Name Expand All	Performance Rate	Measure Score
Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma (POAG): Reduction of Intraocular Pressure (IOP) by 15% OR Documentation of a Plan of Care Measure ID: 141	100.00%	10.00
Intravesical Bacillus-Calmette Guerin for Non-muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer Measure ID: 481	80.00%	7.00

- Measures that contribute zero points to your quality performance category score. You'll see "N/A" in the measure score.

Measures submitted but don't count towards quality performance category score

These measures either fall outside the top six measures or exceed the maximum bonus points allowed. They don't contribute any points to your score. The "Points from Benchmark Decile" identifies the score you would have received if the measure contributed to your score.

Measure Name Expand All	Performance Rate	Measure Score
Diabetes: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (>9%) <small>Measure ID: 001</small>	96.37%	N/A
Preventive Care and Screening: Screening for Depression and Follow-Up Plan <small>Measure ID: 134</small>	12.59%	N/A

- Administrative Claims Measures.** You'll only see the administrative claims measures for which you received a score (if any). Refer to [Appendix D](#) for more information about the administrative claims measures available in the 2024 performance year.


Administrative Claims Measure(s)


The following measure(s) will contribute to your final score.

Measure Name Expand All	Performance Rate	Measure Score
Hospital-Wide, 30-Day, All-Cause Unplanned Readmission (HWR) Rate for the Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Groups <small>Measure ID: 479</small>	0.13%	10.00
Subtotal:		10.00

How Can I Access Details About the Measures I Submitted?

Click the arrow to the right of the measure score to expand and view the measure details, such as benchmark information, measure type, numerator, denominator, and data completeness.

Dementia: Safety Concern Screening and Follow-Up for Patients with Dementia <small>Measure ID: 286</small>	100.00%	7.00	
--	---------	------	---



We Submitted More Than the Required Number of Measures. How Did You Determine Which Ones Counted Towards Our Quality Performance Category Score?

If you submitted more than the required number of measures, only the required number will contribute measure achievement points to your quality performance category score.

There are 6 measures required for traditional MIPS and 4 measures required for MVP reporting. (You can't submit measures outside of the prescribed measure set when reporting the APP.)

When determining which measures are included:

- We'll select the highest scoring outcome measure.
 - If you didn't have an outcome measure available, then we'll select the highest scoring high priority measure.
- We'll then select the next 5 (traditional MIPS)/3 (MVP) highest scoring measures.
- If you didn't submit an outcome or high priority measure, we selected your next highest scoring measure, and you'll receive a score of 0/10 for the missing outcome or high priority measure.

When there are multiple measures with the same score, we select measures for the top based on the measure identification number (ID) (in ascending order).

Example: You submit 7 measures, and your 2 lowest scoring measures (after the outcome measure) were Measure 102 (Prostate Cancer: Avoidance of Overuse of Bone Scan for Staging Low Risk Prostate Cancer Patients) and Measure 250 (Radical Prostatectomy Pathology Reporting), both earning 1 point. The Avoidance of Overuse of Bone Scan measure will be included in the top 6 because its measure ID (102) has a lower value than the Radical Prostatectomy Pathology Reporting measure (250).


If you submit the same measure through multiple collection types — for example, as a Medicare Part B claims measure and as an electronic clinical quality measure (eCQM), we'll select the higher scoring version of the measure based on achievement points. Under no circumstances will 2 versions of the same measure count towards your quality performance category score.

Why Are Measures with Higher Performance Rates Not Counted Towards My Quality Performance Category Score?


We included your **highest scoring** quality measures, not necessarily those with the highest performance rate. Scoring is determined by comparing the performance rate to the measure's benchmark. If you submit 2 measures, each with an 85% performance rate, 1 measure may earn 7 points while the other measure earns 10 points, based on the benchmarks for each measure.

How Do You Determine How Many Points Quality Contributes to Our Final Score?

At the bottom of the Quality page, you can see how we arrived at the points contributing to your final score. In general, your score is calculated by dividing the total points you earned—including small practice bonus points—by the total available points (# of required measures + # of administrative claims measures that are automatically calculated) multiplied by 10. That percentage is then multiplied by the category weight.



If you qualify for quality improvement scoring, we'll add that to your category score before multiplying by the category weight.



What Is Quality Improvement Scoring?

MIPS eligible clinicians can earn up to 10 additional percentage points in the quality performance category based on the rate of their improvement from the previous year.

- The improvement score is calculated at the category level and represents improvement in achievement from one year to the next; it's capped at 10 percentage points.

If CMS can't compare data between 2 performance periods, or there's no improvement, the improvement score will be 0%.

- The improvement score can't be negative.

You'll see an indicator at the top of the quality page if you're receiving quality improvement scoring.

Special Circumstances

Special circumstances are any changes to your Quality Score outside of the base measures.




Quality Improvement Score

You qualify for additional points based on your improvement from last year's quality score.

[How is my Improvement Score Calculated?](#)

How Is Quality Improvement Scoring Calculated?

Improvement scoring is calculated by comparing the quality performance category achievement score from the previous (2023) performance year to the quality performance category achievement score for the current (2024) performance year.



I Submitted All of the Medicare Part B Claims Measures (or MIPS Clinical Quality Measures [CQMs]) Available to Me. How Do I Know If the Eligible Measure Applicability (EMA) Process Was Applied to My Submission?

Clinicians reporting traditional MIPS who don't have 6 available quality measures and who report Medicare Part B claims measures or MIPS CQMs may qualify for the EMA process. This process checks for unreported, clinically related measures and can result in a denominator reduction in the quality performance category. Learn more about this process in the [2024 EMA and Denominator Reduction Guide \(PDF, 736KB\)](#).

If you submitted fewer than 6 Medicare Part B claims measures or MIPS CQMs, the Quality Details page will display a message indicating whether the submission qualified for EMA. Denominator reductions are reflected in the **Total Quality Score** calculation section.

When you see this message, you should also see a reduced denominator (fewer measures required).

Submission meets requirements for Eligible Measures Applicability (EMA)
Your submission has met the requirements for a clinical cluster resulting in a denominator reduction.

Submitted Measures

Measures That Count Towards Your Score

Measure Name Expand All	Performance Rate	Measure Score
Nuclear Medicine: Correlation with Existing Imaging Studies for All Patients Undergoing Bone Scintigraphy <small>Measure ID: 147</small>	100.00%	7.00
Radiology: Exposure Dose Indices Reported for Procedures Using Fluoroscopy <small>Measure ID: 145</small>	100.00%	6.32
Subtotal:		13.32

Your Total Quality Score
Below is how your Total Quality score is calculated based on the measures above.

Category Score	Category Weight	Total Contribution to Final Score
<div> <div>13.32</div> <div>Points from quality measures that count towards quality score</div> </div>	<div> <div>30</div> <div>Information icon</div> </div>	<div> <div>19.98</div> <div>out of 55</div> </div>
<div> <div>20</div> <div>Maximum number of points (# of required measures x 10)</div> </div>	X	=

Facility-based Quality Score

If your quality and cost scores are derived from facility-based scoring, you won't see measure details. Instead, you'll see your facility's **Hospital VBP Program score and associated percentile** and the **MIPS equivalent (unweighted) quality score** based on the Hospital VBP Program score's percentile.

The MIPS equivalent score is multiplied by the **category weight** to arrive at the **quality points contributing to your final score**.

Your QPP Equivalent Quality Score

The Percentile associated with your assigned facility's Hospital Value-based Purchasing (HVBP) program score was used to determine your QPP equivalent Quality score.

HVBP	QPP Equivalent Quality Score		
91.23%	= 100%	x 30	= 30.00 out of 30
99th Percentile ⓘ	Performance Rate	Category Weight	Category Contribution

i The HVBP Score and Percentile are determined by your assigned facility's Fiscal Year 2025 performance in the HVBP Program. Then we look at the range of 2024 MIPS Quality scores for MIPS participants. Your QPP Equivalent Quality performance rate maps to the percentile (across all 2024 MIPS Quality scores) associated with your FY 2025 Hospital VBP Program score.


Review the 2024 Facility-based Quick Start Guide on the [QPP Resource Library](#) ⓘ for more information about the facility-based scoring

Improvement Activities

Reporting Option	Details
Traditional MIPS	Details are available for the reported activities
MVPs	Details are available for the reported activities
APP	N/A – automatic full credit in this performance category

The Improvement Activities page will display the name, weight, and points received for each activity you attested to completing. At the bottom of the Improvement Activities page, you can see how we arrived at the points contributing to your final score.

We divide the sum of the points earned for your medium- and high-weighted activities by 40 (the maximum number of points available). Then we multiply that number by the category weight.



We're a Certified Patient-Centered Medical Home. Why Didn't We Receive Full Credit in the Improvement Activities Performance Category?

If you're a MIPS eligible clinician practicing in a certified patient-centered medical home, including the medical home model, or a comparable specialty practice, **you earn full credit for the improvement activities performance category as long you attested to this participation (IA_PCMH) during the submission period.** If you didn't attest to participating in a certified, patient-centered medical home, then you didn't receive the credit.

We Were Approved for Reweighting of the Improvement Activities Performance Category. Why Are We Showing 7.5 out of 15 points?

Clinicians who participate in an APM, and groups that include such clinicians, automatically receive 50% credit in traditional MIPS for the improvement activities performance category when data are submitted for the quality and/or Promoting Interoperability performance categories.

Promoting Interoperability

Reporting Option	Details
Traditional MIPS	Available based on measures submitted
MVPs	Available based on measures submitted
APP	Available based on measures submitted (either through the details page or via download for APM Entities scored through the “PI Rollup.”)

The Promoting Interoperability performance category consists of a single set of measures required for all MIPS eligible clinicians, unless an available exclusion could be claimed. **All 3 MIPS reporting options have the same requirements for this performance category.**

APM Entities – such as Shared Savings Program ACOs – had the option to report Promoting Interoperability data at the APM Entity level or at the individual and group level.

- When the APM Entity submits Promoting Interoperability data, the Entity will be scored on the Entity-level data, even if data is also submitted by individuals and groups within the Entity.

How Are Measures Scored?

Each required, scored measure is worth a specified number of points, though the maximum points per measure could change based on reporting exclusions for other measures.

For measures submitted with a numerator and denominator, we calculated a score for each measure by dividing the numerator you submitted by the denominator you submitted for the measure. Then we multiplied the performance rate by the maximum points available for the measure, after which we rounded the value to the nearest whole number.

How Do I Find Measure Details?

Click the arrow on the right-hand side of the measure information to see numerator/denominator details or click “**Expand All**” below **Measure Name** to see the details of all the measures in that objective.

e-Prescribing

Measure Name Expand All	Measure Score
e-Prescribing Measure ID: PI_EP_1	9 / 10 <div>▼</div>


e-Prescribing

Measure Name Collapse All	Measure Score				
e-Prescribing Measure ID: PI_EP_1 At least one permissible prescription written by the MIPS eligible clinician is queried for a drug formulary and transmitted electronically using CEHRT. Collection Type ⓘ Manual Entry Download Specifications	9 / 10 <div>▲</div> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Numerator</td> </tr> <tr> <td>187</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Denominator</td> </tr> <tr> <td>199</td> </tr> </table>	Numerator	187	Denominator	199
Numerator					
187					
Denominator					
199					

How Was Our Promoting Interoperability Score Calculated?

At the bottom of the Promoting Interoperability page, you can see how we arrived at the points contributing to your final score.


We divided the points earned by 100 (the maximum number of points available); then we multiplied that number by the category weight.



We're an APM Entity (e.g., a Shared Savings Program ACO) and Our MIPS Eligible Clinicians Reported Promoting Interoperability Data as Individuals and Groups. How Was Our Score Calculated for the ACO as a Whole?


We scored the required measures just as we do for all other individuals and groups, and then we use those scores to calculate a score for the Entity. (This is sometimes referred to as the "PI rollup.")

- When reporting this category at the individual and group level, we identify the highest score attributed to each MIPS eligible clinician in the APM Entity based on the required measures from their individual or group reporting.
- We'll calculate a score for the APM Entity as a weighted average of the scores that MIPS eligible clinicians in the Entity receive from individual and group submissions.
- The APM Entity received 5 bonus points if at least one individual or group in the APM Entity reported any of the optional measures within the Public Health and Clinical Data Exchange objective), but the Promoting Interoperability performance category score can't exceed 100%.



How Can We View the Individual Promoting Interoperability Scores for the Clinicians in Our ACO/APM Entity?

You can download a report of these scores from the Overview page. Click **Download PI Scores** on the Promoting Interoperability card.



Why Did I Receive a Performance Category Score of 0 Out of 25 Points When I Qualified for Reweighting?

If you had a qualifying submission for the Promoting Interoperability performance category, CMS scored the data submitted, and the category **WASN'T** reweighted to 0%.

- **A qualifying submission includes all required data elements (performance period, CEHRT ID, all required attestations, all required measures, etc.), even if the data submitted didn't meet reporting requirements.**

Note: If you didn't meet the criteria for a qualifying data submission and received a performance category score of 0 out of 25 points but should've qualified for reweighting based on your clinician type, special status, and/or exception status, please contact the [QPP Service Center](#) for assistance.

What Is a CEHRT ID?

The CEHRT identification number (ID) is the CMS Certification ID for your electronic health record (EHR) product(s) proving that they're certified to align with the Assistant Secretary for Technology Policy/Office of the National Coordinator for Health IT (ASTP/ONC)'s regulations. All certification criteria will be maintained and updated at [45 CFR 170.315](#). CEHRT is required for reporting your MIPS Promoting Interoperability measures and can be found using the [Certified Health IT Product List \(CHPL\) website](#).

Submissions without a valid CEHRT ID result in a performance category score of zero.

Cost

Reporting Option	Details
Traditional MIPS	Details available for scored measures (automatically calculated).
MVPs	Details available for scored measures (automatically calculated).
APP	N/A – cost performance category isn’t applicable

[If your Final Score displays “Facility-based,” skip ahead.](#)

The traditional MIPS and MVP reporting options have different cost measure options. Cost isn’t measured under the APM Performance Pathway.

[Traditional MIPS](#)


- We automatically calculate all cost measures in the MIPS inventory; you’ll be scored on the measures for which you meet the case minimum.

[MVPs](#)

- We automatically calculate the cost measures in the MVP you registered for; you’ll be scored on the measures for which you meet the case minimum. You can see which cost measures are included in each MVP by viewing the [Explore MVPs](#) webpage.

Comparative Cost Feedback (MVP Reporting Only)

Individual clinicians, groups, and subgroups who received a final score from the MVP reporting option can see how their cost score compared to all other MVP participants who reported the same MVP.




How is the Cost Performance Category Score Determined?

There’s a graphic at the bottom of the cost page showing how we arrived at the points contributing to your final score.

- We sum up the points earned for each of the cost measures you could be scored on and divide that by the maximum number of points available (the number of measures you could be scored on x 10).
- We will add cost improvement scoring (if applicable).
- We then multiply that by the performance category weight.

We finalized a change to our cost scoring methodology in the CY 2025 Medicare Physician Fee Schedule Final Rule. This change is effective beginning with the 2024 performance period and is reflected in your 2024 performance feedback. For more information on this change, please see pages 44 and 45 of the [2024 Traditional MIPS Scoring Guide \(PDF, 6MB\)](#) and pages 47 and 48 of the [2024 MVPs Implementation Guide \(PDF, 1MB\)](#).

In the example below, the organization reported traditional MIPS and could be scored on all 29 cost measures available for scoring in the 2024 performance period.



- As a reminder, the Acute Kidney Injury Requiring New Inpatient Dialysis measure won’t be calculated or scored for the CY 2024 performance period/2026 MIPS payment year. For more information, please see the [this fact sheet \(PDF, 190KB\)](#).

What Is Cost Improvement Scoring?

You can earn up to 1 additional percentage point in the cost performance category based on your rate of improvement from the previous year.

The improvement score — calculated at the category level and representing improvement in achievement from one year to the next — may not total more than 1 percentage point. If CMS can’t compare data between 2 performance periods, or there’s no improvement, the improvement score will be 0%. The improvement score can’t be negative.

- You'll see an indicator at the top of the cost page if you're receiving cost improvement scoring.

Special Circumstances
Special circumstances are any changes to your Cost Score outside of the base measures.

✓ **Cost Improvement Score**

Your submission has met the requirements for a specialty set resulting in a percent increase to the total Cost score. 0.15 %

[How is my Improvement Score Calculated?](#)

How Is Cost Improvement Scoring Calculated?


Cost improvement scoring is calculated by comparing the cost performance category score from the previous (2023) performance period to the cost performance category score for the current (2024) performance period.

- Cost improvement scoring is capped at one percentage point.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Improvement} \\ \text{Score} \\ \text{(\%)} \end{array} = \frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{Increase in Cost Performance Category Score} \\ \text{(From prior performance period to current} \\ \text{performance period)} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \text{Prior Performance Period Cost} \\ \text{Performance Category Achievement} \\ \text{Percent Score} \end{array}} / 100$$

Where Can I Find More Detailed Information about the Cost Measures I Was Scored on?

We've released supplemental cost measure reports. These reports are available for every cost measure on which you could be scored. Click the arrow to open the cost measure details and access these reports. Review the [2024 MIPS Performance Feedback Supplemental Reports Guide \(PDF\)](#) for more information about the data included in these reports and how to interpret this data.



Why Don't I See Any Cost Measure Information?

Only clinicians, groups, subgroups, and virtual groups who were scored on at least one measure will see cost measure information in performance feedback. (APM Entities are never scored on the cost performance category.)

If you don't see any cost measure details and see a score of "N/A" then you didn't meet the case minimum for any cost measures and the weight for this performance category was reallocated to another category.

Clinicians, groups, subgroups, and virtual groups who were approved for reweighting in this performance category can still access measure-level and patient-level feedback if they met the case minimum for at least one cost measure.

At a High Level, How Can I Interpret My Score (Expressed as a Dollar Value) on a MIPS Cost Measure?

A cost measure score represents how a clinician performs relative to their peers. A clinician or group receives more MIPS points on a cost measure if their average observed (i.e., actual) spending is lower than the expected spending (predicted through risk adjustment), compared to their peers.

- For episode-based cost measures and the MSPB Clinician measure, clinicians are scored based on their average cost per episode after applying adjustments.

- For the TPCC measure, clinicians are scored based on their average cost per beneficiary after applying adjustments.

All cost measures used standardized payment data, so factors such as geographic variation in reimbursement do not influence cost performance. All cost measures also use risk adjustment to help account for factors outside attributed clinicians' control that can influence the cost of care, such as the patient's age or preexisting comorbidities.

Risk adjustment factors include comorbidities derived from the CMS Hierarchical Condition Category (HCC) model, plus measure-specific factors tailored to the condition or procedure being assessed by that cost measure. For some measures, a specialty adjustment is also applied. The risk adjustment model predicts the cost for a given episode of care or beneficiary ("expected cost"), which is then compared to the actual ("observed") costs for an episode or beneficiary to get a ratio of observed to expected costs. This ratio is averaged across all episodes or beneficiaries attributed to a clinician during a performance period and is multiplied by the national observed mean cost to generate a dollar figure referred to as an average cost per episode or per beneficiary. This score is then translated into points in the cost performance category based on the measure's performance benchmarks.

Please see the [2024 MIPS Cost User Guide \(PDF\)](#), or the cost section of the [https://qpp-cm-prod-content.s3.amazonaws.com/uploads/2378/2023 MIPS Cost User Guide.pdf](https://qpp-cm-prod-content.s3.amazonaws.com/uploads/2378/2023%20MIPS%20Cost%20User%20Guide.pdf) [2024 Traditional MIPS Scoring Guide \(PDF\)](#) and the cost section of the [2024 MVPs Implementation Guide \(PDF\)](#) for a summary of how the cost measures are scored.

How Can I Use My 2024 Performance Year Supplemental or Patient-level Cost Measure Reports to Address or Improve My Cost Category Performance in Future Performance Years?

Cost measures assess costs directly related to treatment choices, as well as the costs of other services such as costs associated with clinically related adverse outcomes or complications. Clinicians can consider the appropriate use of treatment services, such as office visits, testing and imaging, and medications. Additionally, clinicians can influence the costs of care by providing high-quality care, such as having an appropriate care plan, following clinical guidelines, conducting proactive monitoring, reconciling medications, engaging in care coordination, and providing patient education. These treatment choices can affect the likelihood and severity of costly adverse outcomes, like emergency department visits, hospitalizations and readmissions, post-acute care, and other treatment for complications, which can contribute to a clinician's cost performance score.

Clinicians could engage in the following activities/strategies after reviewing their supplemental or patient-level cost measure reports:

- Use the Hierarchical Conditions Categories (HCC) Percentile Ranking figure in the TPCC and Medicare Spending per Beneficiary (MSPB) Clinician patient-level reports and the HCC risk score figure in the episode-based cost measure patient-level reports to identify higher and lower risk patients attributed to you. Higher HCC percentile rankings and HCC risk score figures tend to be associated with more serious health conditions, including multiple chronic conditions. These patients may benefit from more intensive care coordination efforts. You may also look for opportunities to help patients at lower risk avoid the need for high-cost services (for example, outpatient emergency services). The HCC risk score figure included in the episode-based cost measure reports is provided for informational purposes only and is not used in cost measure calculations.
- To the extent possible, review attributed patients' clinical history and care decisions to inform future case and condition management.

- Identify patients that could benefit from targeted interventions that could result in avoiding unnecessary and costly services and procedures.
- Identify differences between the characteristics of the national average episode for the measure and their attributed episodes. Differences could signify billing and care patterns that warrant additional investigation.

What Can I Do During the Current Performance Year to Ensure My MIPS Cost Measure Performance Is Accurately Assessed?

MIPS cost measures are calculated automatically using Medicare Parts A, B, and D administrative claims data. As such, it's important to accurately submit Medicare claims. For example, please ensure you fully document your patients' conditions in claims submitted to Medicare so that risk adjustment methodologies adequately capture patients' relative acuity.

You may also review the measure specifications to see which services and costs are included in the measure; this information is available in the [2024 MIPS Cost Measure Codes Lists \(ZIP, 16 MB\)](#) and the [2024 MIPS Cost Measure Information Forms \(ZIP, 47 MB\)](#), which are both available in the [QPP Resource Library](#).

While providers don't directly influence the costs of services paid for by Medicare, they can meaningfully influence the volume and types of services provided to their patients. Please continue to provide your patients with the right care in the most appropriate settings.

Why Did My 2024 Performance Year Episode-based Cost Measure Score Change So Much Compared to My 2023 Performance Year Score for the Same Measure?

We finalized a change to cost scoring methodology effective beginning with the 2024 performance period that will impact your scores in the cost performance category, likely resulting in an increase to your cost measure scores from prior years. For more information on this change, please see pages 44 and 45 of the [2024 Traditional MIPS Scoring Guide \(PDF, 6MB\)](#) and pages 47 and 48 of the [2024 MVPs Implementation Guide \(PDF, 1MB\)](#).

Cost measure scores are shown as a dollar value that reflects the national average observed cost for that measure for that year. This means that it can't be compared across years, as the national average will change each year. Additionally, the costs of assigned services vary each year based on Medicare reimbursement rates. Instead of comparing the average cost per beneficiary or per episode across years, you could compare your observed over expected cost ratio to see any changes in whether your episodes were, on average, more or less expensive than what they were predicted to be through risk adjustment. e

Additional information on the 2024 MIPS cost measures can be found in the [2024 MIPS Cost User Guide \(PDF\)](#) in the [QPP Resource Library](#).

Can a Clinician Be Scored on an Episode-based Cost Measure if That Clinician Isn't Individually Attributed Episodes for the Measure?

Yes, when the clinician reports as part of a group. Due to the nature of MIPS group reporting, it's expected that some specialists may be scored on cost measures even if the clinician isn't individually attributed to episodes or beneficiaries for those measures. Clinicians may be participating in MIPS as part of a group that's attributed sufficient episodes under those measures to meet the established case minimum. If a clinician participates in MIPS as part of a large group that provides a variety of care, it's reasonable that they may be attributed a measure assessing types of care that they didn't provide but that someone else from their group did. This is like

group reporting for quality measures, where a clinician may be scored for a quality measure that's outside the specific care they provide.

Facility-based Cost Score

If your quality and cost scores are derived from facility-based scoring, you won't see measure details. Instead, you'll see your facility's **Hospital VBP Program score and associated percentile** and the **MIPS equivalent (unweighted) cost score** based on the Hospital VBP Program score's percentile.

The MIPS equivalent score is multiplied by the **category weight** to arrive at the quality points contributing to your final score.

Your QPP Equivalent Cost Score

The Percentile associated with your assigned facility's Hospital Value-based Purchasing (HVBP) program score was used to determine your QPP equivalent Cost score.

HVBP	QPP Equivalent Cost Score		
91.23%	=	93.87%	x 30 = 28.16 out of 30
99th Percentile		Performance Rate	Category Weight Category Contribution

i The HVBP Score and Percentile are determined by your assigned facility's Fiscal Year 2025 performance in the HVBP Program. Then we look at the range of 2024 MIPS Cost scores for MIPS participants. Your QPP Equivalent Cost performance rate maps to the percentile (across all 2024 MIPS Cost scores) associated with your FY 2025 Hospital VBP Program score.

Review the 2024 Facility-based Quick Start Guide on the [QPP Resource Library](#) for more information about the facility-based scoring

Items and Services

What Is the Purpose of the Items and Services Section of MIPS Performance Feedback?

The Items and Services section of performance feedback provides clinicians with additional information about the healthcare and emergency department (ED) services received by their patients throughout a calendar year (CY). Please note that the Items and Services data is provided for informational purposes only and won't affect your MIPS performance scores.

How Are You Defining the Types of Items and Services Used by Patients?

We define the types of items and services using Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes. HCPCS codes represent a standard coding system for procedures, supplies, products, and services billed by healthcare providers. The data in the Items and Services section of performance feedback is aggregated by ranges of HCPCS codes.

What Is a HCPCS Code and How Are They Classified by Level?

The HCPCS is a collection of codes that represent procedures, supplies, products, and services that may be provided to Medicare patients and to individuals enrolled in private health insurance programs. The codes are divided into 2 levels:

- **Level I HCPCS Codes:** Codes and descriptors copyrighted by the American Medical Association's (AMA) Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®), fourth edition (CPT-4). These are 5 position numeric codes representing services of physicians, non-physician practitioners, and other suppliers.
- **Level II HCPCS Codes:** Alphanumeric codes consisting of a single alphabetical letter followed by 4 numeric digits. Level II HCPCS codes are used primarily to identify products, supplies and services not included in the CPT codes, such as ambulance services and durable medical equipment, prosthetics, orthotics, and supplies (DMEPOS) when used outside a physician's office. Level II codes and descriptors are maintained and distributed by CMS.¹

What Is a CPT Code?

CPT codes offer healthcare professionals a uniform language for coding medical services and procedures to streamline reporting and increase accuracy and efficiency. All CPT codes have 5 digits and can be either numeric or alphanumeric, depending on the category. As noted above, Level I of the HCPCS is composed of CPT-4 codes, a numeric coding system maintained by the AMA.

¹ [Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System \(HCPCS\) Level II Coding Procedures](#)

How Are HCPCS Codes Categorized in the Items and Services Section of Performance Feedback?

In the Items and Services section of performance feedback, the HCPCS codes are categorized as follows:²

HCPCS Code	Definition of HCPCS Code Ranges
Level 1 HCPCS	
00000-09999	Anesthesia services
10000-19999	Integumentary system
20000-29999	Musculoskeletal system
30000-39999	Respiratory, cardiovascular, hemic, and lymphatic system
40000-49999	Digestive system
50000-59999	Urinary, male genital, female genital, maternity care, and delivery system
60000-69999	Endocrine, nervous, eye and ocular adnexa, auditory system
70000-79999	Radiology services
80000-89999	Pathology and laboratory services
90000-99999	Evaluation and management services
Level 2 HCPCS	
HCPCS A	Transportation services including ambulance, medical & surgical supplies
HCPCS B	Enteral and parenteral therapy
HCPCS C	Temporary codes for use with outpatient prospective payment system
HCPCS E	Durable medical equipment (DME)
HCPCS G	Procedures or professional services (temporary codes)
HCPCS H	Alcohol and drug abuse treatment services or rehabilitative services

² <https://hcpcs.codes/section/>

HCPCS Code	Definition of HCPCS Code Ranges
HCPCS J	Drugs administered other than oral method, chemotherapy drugs
HCPCS K	DME for Medicare administrative contractors (DME MACs)
HCPCS L	Orthotic and prosthetic procedures, devices
HCPCS M	Medical services
HCPCS P	Pathology and laboratory services
HCPCS Q	Miscellaneous services (temporary codes)
HCPCS R	Diagnostic radiology services
HCPCS S	Commercial payers (temporary codes)
HCPCS T	Established for state medical agencies
HCPCS U	Codes for Coronavirus lab tests
HCPCS V	Vision, hearing and speech-language pathology services

What Data Are Being Used in the Items and Services Section of Performance Feedback?

The Items and Services section of performance feedback uses Medicare Part B professional claims (Claim Types 71 and 72) billed with dates of services between January 1, 2024, and December 31, 2024, and received by CMS within 60 days of December 31, 2024 (a “60-day runout”).

Medical Services and Treatment			
The categories below are associated with medical services or treatments provided. Each individual item or services has a correlated HCPCS or CPT I code.			
Item/Service	Beneficiaries	Cost	Services
Anesthesia Services CPT I 00000-09999	200	\$12,000	301

How Is the Number of “Beneficiaries” Displayed in the Items and Service Section of Performance Feedback Derived?

For individual clinicians, this number includes all unique Part B-enrolled patients who received at least one service of any type from the individual clinician (identified by TIN/NPI) during CY 2023 AND at least one qualifying service (identified by relevant CPT code within the designated range) from any clinician during CY 2024.

For groups, this number includes all Part B-enrolled patients who received at least one service of any type from any individual clinician (identified by TIN/NPI) who reassigned their billing rights to the group (identified by TIN) during CY 2023 AND received at least one qualifying service (identified by relevant CPT code within the designated range) from any clinician during CY 2024.

How Is the “Cost” per CPT Code Range in the Items and Service Section of Performance Feedback Derived? Is the Cost Adjusted and/or Price Standardized?

The cost reflected in the Items and Services section of performance feedback is the sum of all positive allowed charge amounts for the related HCPCS/CPT codes on Part B professional claim lines with dates of service 1/1/-2024 – 12/31/2024. These numbers are raw allowed charge amounts and aren’t payment-standardized, risk-adjusted, or specialty adjusted.

For individual clinicians, the number is the sum of all Part B-enrolled patients’ allowed charge amounts on professional claim lines for patients who received at least one service of any type from the individual clinician (identified by TIN/NPI) during CY 2024 AND at least one qualifying service (identified by the relevant CPT code within the designated range) from any provider during CY 2024.


For groups, this number is the sum of all Part B-enrolled patients’ allowed charge amounts on professional claim lines with allowed charges for patients who received at least one service of any type from any individual clinician (identified by TIN/NPI) who reassigned their billing rights to the group (identified by TIN) during CY 2024 AND received at least one qualifying service (identified by relevant CPT code within the designated range) from any clinician during CY 2024.

How Is the Number of “Services” in the Items and Services Section of Performance Feedback Derived?

For individual clinicians, the number of services reflected is the sum of all Part B-enrolled patients’ service unit quantity counts on professional claim lines with positive allowed charges for patients who received at least one service of any type from the individual clinician (identified by TIN/NPI) during CY 2024 AND received at least one qualifying service (identified by the relevant CPT code within the designated range) from any clinician during CY 2024.

For groups, this number is the sum of all Part B-enrolled patients’ service unit quantity counts on professional claim lines with positive allowed charges for patients who received at least one service of any type from any individual clinician (identified by TIN/NPI) who reassigned their billing rights to the group (identified by TIN) during CY 2024 AND at least one qualifying service (identified by the relevant CPT code within the designated range) from any clinician during CY 2024.

Emergency Department Utilization

Emergency Department Utilizations	
Emergency Department Utilization numbers are for Emergency Department visits and include visits that resulted in an admission.	
Patients Associated with Your Practice	107
Associated Patients with Emergency Department Visits	47
Total Number of Emergency Department Visits 	101

Which Patients Are Counted in the “Patients Associated with Your Practice” Entry Under the “Emergency Department Utilization” Heading?

In this context, “patients associated with your practice” is defined as patients attributed to an individual clinician’s TIN/NPI or to a group’s TIN (depending on the chosen level of reporting) via the following method:

Patients are attributed to a single TIN/NPI based on the amount of primary care services received, and the clinician specialties that performed those services, during the performance period.

Only patients who received a primary care service during the performance period can be attributed to a TIN/NPI. A patient is attributed to a single TIN/NPI or a single entity’s CMS Certification Number (CCN) assigned to either a Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC) or Rural Health Clinic (RHC) in 1 of 2 steps, described below.

Note: If a patient is attributed to an FQHC’s or RHC’s CCN, then that patient and their services aren’t included in the provision of Items and Services data for an individual MIPS eligible clinician or group.

Step 1: If a patient received more primary care services from an individual TIN/NPI that’s classified as a primary care physician (PCP), nurse practitioner (NP), physician assistant (PA), or clinical nurse specialist (CNS) than from any other TIN/NPI during the performance period, then the patient is attributed to that TIN/NPI. If, during the performance period, a patient received more primary care services from an entity’s CCN than from any other TIN/NPI, then the patient is attributed to the CCN.

Step 2: If a patient didn’t receive a primary care service from a TIN/NPI classified as a PCP, NP, PA, or CNS during the performance period, then the patient may be assigned to a TIN/NPI in “Step 2.” If a patient received more primary care services from a specialist physician’s TIN/NPI than from any another clinician’s TIN/NPI during the performance period, then the patient is assigned to the specialist physician’s TIN/NPI.

A patient is excluded from the population measured for the purposes of providing Items and Services data if:

- The patient wasn't enrolled in both Medicare Parts A & B for every month of the performance period.
- The patient was enrolled in a private Medicare health plan during any month of the performance period.
- The patient resided outside the United States (including territories) during any month of the performance period.
- The patient was enrolled in Medicare Parts A & B for a partial year because they were newly enrolled in Medicare, or they died during the performance period.

The case minimum for provision of Items and Services data is 20. For a MIPS eligible clinician participating in MIPS as an individual, 20 patients must be assigned to the individual MIPS eligible clinician's TIN/NPI for Items and Services data to be provided. For groups of clinicians participating in MIPS as a group, a total of 20 patients must be assigned to TIN/NPIs across the TIN/NPIs under the group's TIN for Items and Services data to be provided.

Which Patients Are Counted in the “Associated Patients with Emergency Department Visits” Entry Under the “Emergency Department Utilization” Heading?

This metric reflects the number of attributed patients who also had an ED visit in CY 2024. An ED visit is defined as any CY 2024 claim with a claim line containing any of the following ED revenue center codes: 0450 – 0459 and/or 0981.

How Is the “Total Number of Emergency Department Visits” Entry Under the “Emergency Department Utilization” Heading Defined?

The figure reflects the actual number of ED visits across all attributed patients in CY 2024.

Where Can I Go for Help?

Contact the Quality Payment Program Service Center by email at QPP@cms.hhs.gov, by creating a [QPP Service Center ticket](#), or by phone at 1-866-288-8292 (Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. - 8 p.m. ET). To receive assistance more quickly, please consider calling during nonpeak hours—before 10 a.m. and after 2 p.m. ET.

- People who are deaf or hard of hearing can dial 711 to be connected to a TRS Communications Assistant.

Visit the [Quality Payment Program website](#) for other [help and support](#) information, to learn more about [MIPS](#), and to check out the resources available on the [Quality Payment Program Resource Library](#).

Version History

Date	Change Description
09/09/2025	Original Posting

Appendix A: Automatic Extreme and Uncontrollable Circumstances (EUC) Policy

Table 1. Performance Category Weights and Payment Adjustment Based on Individual Data Submission (Not in a Small Practice)

The table below illustrates the 2024 performance category reweighting policies under the MIPS automatic EUC policy that apply to clinicians participating as individuals (excluding clinicians in a small practice). Learn more in [this fact sheet \(PDF, 393KB\)](#).

Data Submitted	Quality Category Weight	Promoting Interoperability Category Weight	Improvement Activities Category Weight	Cost Category Weight	Payment Adjustment
No data	0%	0%	0%	0%	Neutral
Submit Data for 1 Performance Category					
Quality Only ²	100%	0%	0%	0%	Neutral
Promoting Interoperability Only ²	0%	100%	0%	0%	Neutral
Improvement Activities Only	0%	0%	100%	0%	Neutral
Submit Data for 2 Performance Categories					
Quality Promoting Interoperability ²	70%	30%	0%	0%	Positive, Negative, or Neutral
Quality Improvement Activities	85%	0%	15%	0%	Positive, Negative, or Neutral
Improvement Activities Promoting Interoperability	0%	85%	15%	0%	Positive, Negative, or Neutral
Submit Data for 3 Performance Categories					
Quality and Improvement Activities and Promoting Interoperability	55%	30%	15%	0%	Positive, Negative, or Neutral

² APM participants are eligible to receive automatic credit in the improvement activities performance category; for these MIPS eligible clinicians, submitting data for the quality and/or Promoting Interoperability performance categories will initiate a score in the improvement activities performance category (20 out of 40 possible points), and they'll receive a final score based on the data submitted and available for scoring.

Table 2. Performance Category Weights and Payment Adjustment Based on Individual Data Submission (Clinicians in a Small Practice)

The table below illustrates the 2024 performance category reweighting policies under the MIPS automatic EUC policy that apply to clinicians in a small practice participating as individuals. Learn more in [this fact sheet \(PDF, 393KB\)](#).

Data Submitted	Quality Category Weight	Promoting Interoperability Category Weight	Improvement Activities Category Weight	Cost Category Weight	Payment Adjustment
No data	0%	0%	0%	0%	Neutral
Submit Data for 1 Performance Category					
Quality Only ²	100%	0%	0%	0%	Neutral
Promoting Interoperability Only ²	0%	100%	0%	0%	Neutral
Improvement Activities Only	0%	0%	100%	0%	Neutral
Submit Data for 2 Performance Categories					
Quality Promoting Interoperability ²	70%	30%	0%	0%	Positive, Negative, or Neutral
Quality Improvement Activities	50%	0%	50%	0%	Positive, Negative, or Neutral
Improvement Activities Promoting Interoperability	0%	85%	15%	0%	Positive, Negative, or Neutral
Submit Data for 3 Performance Categories					
Quality and Improvement Activities and Promoting Interoperability	55%	30%	15%	0%	Positive, Negative, or Neutral

Appendix B: Performance Category Reweighting

Table 1. Performance Category Weight Redistribution (Excluding Small Practices)

Table 1 outlines the performance category weights when 0, 1, or 2 performance categories are reweighted to 0% based on any circumstances, including the Extreme and Uncontrollable Circumstances policy.

NOTE: If you have multiple performance categories reweighted to 0% so that a single performance category is weighted as 100% of your final score, you'll receive a score equal to the performance threshold regardless of any data submitted or not submitted.

Performance Category Redistribution for the 2024 Performance Year/2026 MIPS Payment Year				
Reweighting Scenario	Quality	Cost	Improvement Activities (IA)	Promoting Interoperability (PI)
No Reweighting Needed				
General weighting for all 4 performance categories	30%	30%	15%	25%
Reweighting 1 Performance Category				
No Cost: Cost → Quality and PI	55%	0%	15%	30%
No Promoting Interoperability: PI → Quality	55%	30%	15%	0%
No Quality: Quality → PI	0%	30%	15%	55%
No Improvement Activities: IA → Quality	45%	30%	0%	25%
Reweighting 2 Performance Categories				
No Cost and No Promoting Interoperability Cost and PI → Quality	85%	0%	15%	0%
No Cost and No Quality Cost and Quality → PI	0%	0%	15%	85%
No Cost and No Improvement Activities Cost and IA → Quality and PI	70%	0%	0%	30%
No Promoting Interoperability and No Quality PI and Quality → Cost and IA	0%	50%	50%	0%
No Promoting Interoperability and No Improvement Activities PI and IA → Cost and Quality	70%	30%	0%	0%
No Quality and No Improvement Activities	0%	30%	0%	70%

Quality and IA → PI				
---------------------	--	--	--	--

Table 2. Performance Category Weight Redistribution (Small Practices)

Table 2 reviews the performance category redistribution policies that apply to small practices in the 2024 performance year.

NOTE: If you have multiple performance categories reweighted to 0% so that a single performance category is weighted as 100% of your final score, you'll receive a score equal to the performance threshold regardless of any data submitted or not submitted.



Appendix C: Performance Feedback Based on Access

This table provides a snapshot of what you can and can't view within performance feedback based on your access and organization type.

With This Access	You CAN	You CAN'T
Staff User or Security Official for a Practice (Includes solo practitioners)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ View and download group-level ("practice") performance feedback and the group's final score. ✓ View and download subgroup performance feedback and the group's final score. ✓ View and download clinician-level performance feedback and their final score (excluding APM participants). ✓ View and download payment adjustment data for all clinicians in the practice. ✓ Access patient-level reports for administrative claims cost and quality measures. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> X View APM Entity level performance feedback. Example: If you're a participant TIN in a Shared Savings Program ACO, you won't be able to view performance feedback or payment adjustment information for the ACO. You'll only be able to view feedback on the data submitted at the individual or group level. X View performance feedback for your virtual group.
Staff User or Security Official for an APM Entity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ View and download MIPS performance feedback and final score for the entire APM Entity. ✓ View and download Promoting Interoperability scores for each MIPS eligible clinician in the APM Entity (if the APM Entity didn't report). ✓ View and download payment adjustment data for all clinicians in the APM Entity. ✓ Access patient-level reports for administrative claims quality measures. 	
Staff User or Security Official for a Registry	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ View preliminary measure- and activity-level scoring for your clients based on the data you submitted for them (same 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> X View performance feedback or payment adjustment information for your clients, which may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Data submitted by your clients directly.

With This Access	You CAN	You CAN'T
(QCDR or Qualified Registry)	information that was available during the submission period).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Data submitted by another third party on behalf of your clients. ○ Data collected and calculated by CMS on behalf of your clients.
Clinician Role	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ View and download your performance feedback and view final scores applicable to each of your TIN/NPI combinations. ✓ View and download payment adjustment data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✗ View performance feedback for other clinicians.
Staff User or Security Official for a Virtual Group	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ View and download virtual group-level performance feedback. ✓ View and download payment adjustment data. ✓ Access patient-level reports for administrative claims cost and quality measures. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✗ View performance feedback about data submitted by individuals or practices in your virtual group.

Appendix D: Administrative Claims Measures

- **Risk-Standardized Acute Cardiovascular-Related Hospital Admission Rates for Patients with Heart Failure under the Merit-based Incentive Payment System.**
 - This measure is automatically calculated for groups, virtual groups, and APM Entities that include at least 1 cardiologist and meet the case minimum (21 cases).
 - Review the [measure specification \(ZIP, 1MB\)](#).
 - Included in traditional MIPS.
 - Available as 1 of the 4 required measures in MVP reporting.
 - Not included in APP reporting.
- **Hospital-Wide, 30-Day, All-Cause Unplanned Readmission (HWR) Rate for the Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Groups.**
 - This measure is automatically calculated for groups, virtual groups, and APM Entities with at least 16 eligible clinicians that meet the case minimum (200 cases).
 - Review the [measure specification \(ZIP, 822KB\)](#).
 - Included in traditional MIPS.
 - Available as a population health measure in MVP reporting.
 - Included in APP reporting.
- **Risk-standardized Complication Rate (RSCR) following Elective Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty (THA) and/or Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA) for Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS).**
 - This measure is automatically calculated for individuals, groups, virtual groups, and APM Entities that meet the case minimum (25 cases).
 - This measure has a 3-year performance period (October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2024) with a 3-month numerator assessment period.
 - Review the [measure specification \(ZIP, 568KB\)](#).
 - Included in traditional MIPS.
 - Available as 1 of the 4 required measures in MVP reporting.
 - Not included in APP reporting.
- **Clinician and Clinician Group Risk-standardized Hospital Admission Rates for Patients with Multiple Chronic Conditions**
 - This measure is automatically calculated for groups, virtual groups, and APM Entities with at least 16 eligible clinicians that meet the case minimum (18 cases).
 - Review the [measure specification \(ZIP, 5MB\)](#).
 - Included in traditional MIPS.
 - Available as a population health measure in MVP reporting.
 - Included in APP reporting.

Appendix E: Measures with Scoring Changes

The following measures reflect MIPS scoring updates resulting from substantive changes during the 2024 performance period.

Measure ID/Name	Impact on Scoring, Submission, and Feedback Expectations
Measure 389/Cataract Surgery: Difference Between Planned and Final Refraction	<p>This measure will be excluded from scoring and won't contribute to the MIPS quality performance category score.</p> <p>This measure won't be included in performance feedback.</p>
Measure 488/Kidney Health Evaluation	<p>This measure will be excluded from scoring and won't contribute to the MIPS quality performance category score.</p> <p>This measure won't be included in performance feedback.</p>
COST_AKID_1/Acute Kidney Injury Requiring New Inpatient Dialysis	<p>This measure will be excluded from scoring and won't contribute to the MIPS cost performance category score.</p> <p>This measure won't be included in performance feedback.</p>